## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Freda J. Duff-Brown, et al.	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. CV-11-03917 DMR
v. City and County of San Francisco,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and of following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16	conferred regarding ADR and have reached the -8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following	g ADR process:
Court Processes:  Non-binding Arbitration (ADR Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)  ✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlen appreciably more likely to meet their needs tha ADR phone conference and may not file this for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 1	n any other form of ADR, must participate in an orm. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process:  Private ADR (please identify pro	ocess and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dereferring the case to an ADR pro- other requested deadline	eadline is 90 days from the date of the order ocess unless otherwise ordered.)
omer requested deadline	
Dated: Nov. 2, 2011	/s/ Dwane W. Reno, Esq.  Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: Nov. 2, 2011	/s/ Ruth M. Bond, Deputy City Atty.  Attorney for Defendant

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

X Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

X 90 days from the date of this order.

other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

11/02/2011 Dated:

